

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/623,482 07/18/2003		Michael A. Todd	ASMEX.376A	4562	
20995 KNOBBE MA	7590 03/06/200° RTENS OLSON & BE.	EXAMINER			
2040 MAIN STREET			POMPEY, RO	POMPEY, RON EVERETT	
FOURTEENTH FLOOR IRVINE, CA 92614			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2812		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/06/2007	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcartee@kmob.com eOAPilot@kmob.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)		
	10/623,482	TODD ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Ron E. Pompey	2812		

	Non E. Pompey	2012	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>25 January 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o e with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire a Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp			
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed			e appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS		will make a managed by	
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection. 			ecause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		TE Delow),	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. 🔲 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).		-	-
7. Solution For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed.		II be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed. 2000 Claim(s) objected to 1000 Claim(s)			•
Claim(s) rejected: <u>38-41,43-56,105 and 106</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration MONE			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to one showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	1 Shite peac	
		L WHITEHEAD, JR	
		ORY PATENT EXAMINE DLOGY CENTER 2800	K .

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the Lou reference implicitly suggests mass transport limited deposition condition because, Lou, uses the same method to deposit the silicon layer as applicant; therefore the same results will occur.